

Annual Environmental Report

2024



Kilmallock

D0106-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2024 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 KILMALLOCK WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY - KILMALLOCK WWTP
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KILMALLOCK WWTP -
 - 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR KILMALLOCK WWTP
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO KILMALLOCK WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

- 5.1 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

- 6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

7 APPENDIX

- 7.1 SMALL STREAM RISK SCORE ASSESSMENT

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2024 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0106-01, Killmallock, in Limerick in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken.

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

- Killmallock WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 4000, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal .

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF1900D0106SW002	Killmallock WWTP	Treated	Compliant	N/A

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

Assessment / Report
Small Stream Risk Score Assessment

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 KILMALLOCK WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - KILMALLOCK WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	12	419	185
Total Nitrogen mg/l	12	58	32
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	12	9.16	4.84
Suspended Solids mg/l	12	424	200
COD-Cr mg/l	12	768	450
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	1720	713

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF1900D0106SW001

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	50	100	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	11	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	15	37.5	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	4.79	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD) mg/l	15	30	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1.92	Pass
pH pH units	9	9	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.89	Pass
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	1	1.2	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.113	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	1	1.2	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.071	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	0.3	0.36	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.072	Pass
Total Nitrogen mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	4.18	

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF1900D0106SW001

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status
Upstream	160645, 128426	RS24L010410	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	159232, 127480	RS24L010460	No	Yes	No	No	Good

The table below provides a summary of monitoring results for designated ambient monitoring points. The upstream and downstream annual mean values are shown (mg/l), and the difference between both monitoring stations is given as a percentage of the Environmental Quality Standard (EQS) where relevant.

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	% of EQS
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	RS24L010410	0.034	RS24L010460	0.034	0.065	0

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	% of EQS
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	RS24L010410	0.031	RS24L010460	0.031	0.035	0
Dissolved Oxygen % O2	RS24L010410	97	RS24L010460	100	N/A	
BOD - 5 days (Total) mg/l	RS24L010410	1.41	RS24L010460	1.54	N/A	
pH pH units	RS24L010410	8.18	RS24L010460	8.18	N/A	
Temperature °C	RS24L010410	9.43	RS24L010460	9.67	N/A	

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

Based on ambient monitoring results a deterioration in BOD concentrations downstream of the effluent discharge is noted.

A deterioration in water quality has been identified, however it is not known if it or is not caused by the WWTP.

Other causes of deterioration in water quality in the area are unknown.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - KILMALLOCK WWTP

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Kilmallock WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
TP	1132	27	98
cBOD	43200	462	99
SS	46688	1154	98
COD	105136	2613	98
TN	7394	1005	86

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Kilmallock WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Kilmallock WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m ³ /day) - As Constructed	2220
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	780
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m ³ /day)	1720

Kilmallock WWTP	
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	712.74
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	4000
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}	1926
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	2074
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - KILMALLOCK WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Waterworks Sludge	2595.07	Volume (m3)	4000	0.79	No	Yes	Yes

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2024.			

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Uisce Éireann but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
There were no reportable incidents in 2024.			

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2024	0
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2024	0
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable	Irish Grid Ref. (outfall)	Included in Schedule of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2024 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2024 (m3)	Monitoring Status
SW3	160256, 128273	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	Unknown	83	Monitored
TBC	161128, 127735	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
TBC	161308, 127718	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored
TBC	159989, 128256	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	Unknown	Unknown	Not Monitored

The contents presented in this table include the most up to date information available at the time of writing. Any TBC SWO(s) were identified as part of the on-going National SWO programme and will be updated in subsequent AER(s) once the information is confirmed.

SWO Summary	
How much wastewater discharge by metered SWOs during the year (m3)?	83

SWO Summary	
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	N/A
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	N/A

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0106-SIP:01	Discharges from SW1 must cease by 01/01/11 at the latest.	A	01/01/2011	Yes	Works Completed		
D0106-SIP:02	New WWTP and ancillary works	C	01/01/2011	Yes	Works Completed		

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
No additional improvements planned at this time.				

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Included in this AER
D0106-01-Small Stream Risk Score Assessment	Yes	Yes

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for Consideration of a Technical Amendment/Review of the Licence?	Yes
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	Additional SWOs
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	N/A
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	No
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	No

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 07/07/2025

This AER has been produced by Uisce Éireann's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Eleanor Roche

Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix
Appendix 7.1 - Small Stream Risk Score Assessment

SSRS Compliance Monitoring: *Kilmallock* Waste Water Treatment Plant 2024



Report to Uisce Éireann
Limnos Consultancy, January 2025

Contents

Introduction	3
Methodology.....	3
Small Streams Risk Score (SSRS)	3
Physico-Chemical Measurements.....	4
Location of Sites Sampled	5
Results	6
Site Photographs.....	6
Macroinvertebrates – SSRS	7
Physico-Chemical Results	9
Summary.....	9
Reference.....	9

Kilmallock WWTP

Introduction

Small Streams Risk Score (SSRS) assessments on the Kilmallock Stream upstream and downstream of the Kilmallock waste water treatment plant (WWTP) are outlined in this report. The assessments were made on 8 October 2024. Limnos Consultancy was contracted by Irish Water to undertake the surveys.

Methodology

Small Streams Risk Score (SSRS)

Samples were taken using an ISO compliant kick-sampling method compatible with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Standard Operating Procedure for sampling aquatic macroinvertebrates. Samples were taken upstream and downstream of the discharge from the WWTP. SSRS results were assigned based on the macroinvertebrate fauna.

The author was the main initiator of the SSRS system developed by the Western River Basin District and the EPA under his supervision in 2005–2006 (McGarrigle 2014). He has undertaken SSRS training of local authority and other professional staff at the Local Government Water Services Training Centres around the country for over 100 personnel.

The SSRS was calculated based on selected sub-groups of the macroinvertebrates recorded. The score is calculated based on the number of taxa and their relative abundance in four main invertebrate groups as follows:

Group 1: Ephemeroptera (excluding *Baetis rhodani*)

Group 2: Plecoptera

Group 3: Trichoptera

Group 4: GOLD (Gastropoda, Oligochaeta, Diptera)

Group 5: *Asellus*

The first three groups above, mayflies, stoneflies, and caddis flies, are regarded as pollution-sensitive whereas gastropods, oligochaetes, dipterans and *Asellus* are relatively pollution-tolerant. The maximum score that can be achieved is 11.2 and threshold scores deciding the degree of risk of not being at good ecological status are as follows:

- > 7.25 Probably not at risk
- > 6.5 to 7.25 Indeterminate
- < 6.5 Stream may be at risk.

Samples were taken with a standard 1 mm mesh pond net. A 3-minute kick sample was combined with a 1-minute stonewash. Samples were placed on a white tray and, once cleaned of debris such as leaves and twigs and excessive sand or gravel by decanting and hand picking, the sample was examined carefully to identify the macroinvertebrates. At least 25 minutes were spent identifying and assigning each taxon found to a relative abundance category. Table 1 gives the definition of the relative abundance terms Few, Common, Numerous, Dominant and Excessive. The numeric code is used in the results tables below.

Table 1. Relative abundance table.

Abundance	Number of Individual Specimens	Relative abundance numeric code
Few:	1 to 5 individuals	1
Common:	6 to 20	2
Numerous:	21–50	3
Dominant:	51 to 100	4
Excessive:	>100	5

Physico-Chemical Measurements

Physico-chemical measurements were also made for dissolved oxygen, temperature and conductivity using a HACH HQ40d meter with appropriate compatible probes. Probes were calibrated before use.

Location of Sites Sampled

Figure 1 maps the sampling sites and Table 2 gives the details of the locations sampled.



Figure 1. Location of upstream and downstream monitoring sites for Kilmallock WWTP. The river flows to the West.

Table 2. Location of sites sampled upstream and downstream of Kilmallock WWTP.

Location	Kilmallock WWTP Upstream	Kilmallock WWTP Downstream
EPA Code	24L010400	24L010460
Station	North Bridge Kilmallock	Glenfield bridge D/S Kilmallock WWTP
River	Loobagh	Loobagh
Easting	160650	159230
Northing	128429	127980

Results

Site Photographs

Figure 2 shows photographs for the upstream and downstream of the Kilmallock WWTP taken on 8 October 2024.



Figure 2. Upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) of Kilmallock WWTP.

Macroinvertebrates – SSRS

Table 3 gives the recorded macroinvertebrate taxa for the standard kick samples taken at these sites.

The taxa are ordered from top to bottom in terms of their SSRS Grouping. Groups 1 to 3 are sensitive to pollution while Groups 4 and 5 comprise more tolerant taxa. Note that not all taxa recorded are included in the SSRS system.

The upstream site in Kilmallock had 19 taxa – one more than in December 2023. *Heptagenia* were numerous and *Caenis*, *Rhithrogena* and *Serratella* also represented Group 1, Ephemeroptera. The stonefly, *Leuctra*, was present – it was not recorded in 2023. Three free-living Trichoptera were recorded: *Hydropsyche*, *Polycentropus* and *Rhyacophila*. Limnephilidae, which were common in 2023 were not found. Six GOLD types were noted with *Potamopyrgus* numerous. The numbers of *Asellus* were down from numerous in 2023 to few in 2024. The SSRS score of 7.2 is an improvement on the 4.8 scored in 2023. The inclusion of a stonefly and fewer *Asellus* accounted for the improvement in SSRS. The Q-value assigned is Q4 but the fact that *Potamopyrgus* was numerous pushes it to borderline Q3-4 even though *Heptagenia* were numerous. The EPA assigned a Q3-4 to this site in August 2023.

The downstream site had 17 taxa – the same as in 2023. There were two Ephemeroptera – one fewer than in 2023. Again, no Plecoptera were recorded and three Trichoptera. There were seven GOLD taxa with *Potamopyrgus* common. *Asellus* was absent. The SSRS of 4.8 was lower than in 2023 due to fewer Ephemeroptera and more GOLD taxa than in 2023. The Q-Value of Q4 is close to the lower borderline.

Table 3. Relative abundances of macroinvertebrates recorded upstream and downstream of Kilmallock WWTP discharge point.

			Upstream Kilmallock WWTP	Downstream Kilmallock WWTP
		River	Loobagh	
		Code	24L010380	24L010460
		Location	Deebert Br	Glenfield Br
		Date of Sample	08/10/2024	08/10/2024
SSRS Group		Taxon		
1	Ephem	<i>Caenis</i>	Few	-
1	Ephem	<i>Ecdyonurus</i>	-	Few
1	Ephem	<i>Heptagenia</i>	Numerous	Few
1	Ephem	<i>Rhithrogena semicolorata</i>	Few	-
1	Ephem	<i>Serratella ignita</i>	Few	-
2	Plecopt	<i>Leuctra</i>	Few	-
3	Trich	<i>Hydropsyche</i>	Common	Few
3	Trich	<i>Polycentropus</i>	Few	Few
3	Trich	<i>Rhyacophila</i>	Few	-
3	Trich	<i>Sericostoma personatum</i>	-	Few
4	GOLD	Ancyliidae	Few	Few
4	GOLD	Chironomidae	-	Few
4	GOLD	<i>Dicranota</i>	Few	-
4	GOLD	<i>Lymnaea peregra</i>	-	Few
4	GOLD	<i>Potamopyrgus antipodarum</i>	Numerous	Common
4	GOLD	Simuliidae	Few	Few
4	GOLD	Tipulidae	Few	Few
4	GOLD	Tubificidae	Few	Few
5	Asellus	<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	Few	-
	n/a	<i>Baetis rhodani</i>	Common	Numerous
	n/a	<i>Elmis aenea</i>	Few	Few
	n/a	<i>Gammarus</i>	Dominant	Dominant
	n/a	Gerridae	Few	-
	n/a	Haliplidae	-	Few
	n/a	<i>Limnius volckmari</i>	-	Few
		Number Taxa	19	17
		SSRS	7.2	4.8
		Q-Value	Q4	Q4

Physico-Chemical Results

The physico-chemical measurements made in the field on the day of sampling in the Loobagh River (**Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference.**) showed both sites to be reasonably well-oxygenated. Conductivities and pH values are typical for the catchment geology.

Table 4. Physico-chemical results for Loobagh River, Kilmallock, 8 October 2024.

Station	Dissolved Oxygen (DO) % Saturation	DO mg/l	Temp. °C	Conductivity µS/cm	pH
Upstream Kilmallock WWTP	100.4	10.09	13.50	355	7.87
Downstream Kilmallock WWTP	99.5	9.68	15.20	361	7.94

Summary

The River Loobagh had improved to good condition in the town of Kilmallock upstream of the WWTP. The downstream site was slightly poorer but warranted a Q4 despite the 'At Risk' SSRS score of 4.8, but it is close to being a Q3-4 or moderate especially if sampled during the summer months. The WWTP may be having a slight impact on the river.

Reference

McGarrigle, M. 2014. "Assessment of Small Water Bodies in Ireland." *Biology and Environment* 114B(3). doi: 10.3318/BIOE.2014.15.